

THE YUKON TRAIL

By WILLIAM McLEOD RAINE.

Copyright, 1917, By William McLeod Raine.

CHAPTER XXVII

Two On The Trail.

A stress of emotion had swept over her. She drew away from him shyly. The conventions in which she had been brought up asserted themselves. She remembered that they had been carried by the high wave of their emotion past all the usual preliminaries. He had not even told her that he loved her. An almost little fear entered her mind. She remembered that she had seen his arms like a boy's, and that he had said that he cared for her.

"You came to look for us?" she asked, with the little shyness of embarrassment.

"For you—yes."

He could not take his eyes from her. It seemed to him that a bird was singing in his heart the gladness he could not express. He had for many hours pushed from his mind the thought of the great north.

"Yes, I was troubled when the storm came. I could not sleep. So I called up the roadhouse by long distances. They had not heard from the stage. Later, I called again. When I could stand it no longer, I started."

"Not on foot?"

"No. With Holt's dog team. He is back there. His leg is broken. A snowslide crushed him this morning where we camped."

"Bring him to the cabin. I will tell the others you are coming."

"Have you any food?" he asked.

A third smile lit up the shadows of weariness under her soft, dark eyes. "Baked oats, plum pudding and chocolate," she told him.

"We have plenty of food on the sled. I'll bring it at once."

She nodded, and turned to go to the cabin. He watched for a moment the light in her walk. An expression on his reading jumped to his mind. Melancholy? Some part of him had said that, hadn't he? Surely it must have been she of whom he was thinking, this girl so virginal of body and of mind, free and light as a feather on the wind.

Gordon returned to the sled and drove the team up to the door of the cabin. The three who had been named came to meet their rescuer.

"You must all come right through the storm tonight," Swiftwater said.

"You're right we did. This side partner of mine was hell-bent on wrestling with a blizzard," Holt answered dryly.

"Sorry you broke your leg, old."

"Then there's two of us sorry, Swiftwater. It's one of the best legs I've got."

She turned to the old miner impulsively. "If you could be knowing what I'm thinking of you, Mr. Holt."

How full our hearts are of the gratitude!" She stopped, tears in her eyes.

"Sho' no need of that, Miss. He dragged me alone. His thumb stuck out toward the man who was driving. 'I've seen better dog punches than this,' he said, but he's got the world beat at riding out times out of bed and per-sua-sion! then to kick in with him and back a blizzard. Me, of course, I'm an old fool for comin'."

The dark eyes of the girl were like stars in a frosty night. "Then you're the kind of a fool I love, Mr. Holt. I think it was just fine of you, and I'll never forget it as long as I live."

Mrs. Olson had cooked too long in lumber and mining camps not to know something about bone-setting. Under her direction Gordon made splints and helped her bandage the broken leg. Meanwhile, Swiftwater and Holt had cooked an appetizing breakfast. The aroma of coffee and the smell of frying bacon stimulated appetites that needed no tempting.

Holt, propped up by blankets, ate with the others. For a good many years he had taken his luck as it came with philosophic endurance. Now he wasted no time in mourning what could not be helped. He was lucky the ice slide had not hit him in the head. A broken leg would mend.

While they ate, the party went into committee of the whole to decide what was best to be done. Gordon noticed that in all the tentative suggestions made by Holt and Swiftwater or the comfort of Sheba was the first thing in mind.

The girl, too, noticed it and smilingly protested, her soft hand lying for a moment on the gnarled one of the old miner.

"It doesn't matter about me. We have to think of what will be best for Mr. Holt, of how to get him to the proper care. My comfort can wait."

The plan at last decided upon was that Gordon should make a dash for Smith's Crossing on snowshoes, where he was to arrange for a relief party to come out for the injured man and Mrs. Olson. He was to return at once without waiting for the rescuers.

Next morning he and Sheba would start with Holt's dog team for Kuskiak.

Macdonald had taught Sheba how to use snowshoes and she had been an apt pupil. From her suitcase she got out her moccasins and put them on. She borrowed the snowshoes of Holt, wrapped herself in her parka, and announced that she was going with Elliott part of the way.

Gordon thought her movements a miracle of suppleness. Her lines were on the swell of roundness of youth, her eyes were alive with eagerness that little dulls in most faces. She spoke little as they

MAN WHO DOES THINGS.

Secretary McAdoo the Live Wire of the Cabinet.

Theodore H. Price in The Outlook.

The United States is financing the world at war. Including our loans to the Allies, the treasury will have to pay out over a billion dollars in the next few months.

It took up the tent for the women folks—stove, sleeping bags, plenty of wood. Though a match to the fire and it'll be snuck a buck in a rug," explained Swiftwater to Gordon.

Elliott and Sheba were to start early for Kuskiak and later the rescue party would arrive to take care of Holt and Mrs. Olson.

"Time to turn in," Holt advised. "You better light that stove, Elliott."

The young man was still in the tent arranging the sleeping bags. He tried to walk out without touching her, intending to call back his good-night. But he could not do it. There was something about her that he could not resist. He looked at her with a tremulous little smile and the turn of the buoyant little head stirred in him a lover's rhapsody.

"It's to be a long trail we cover to-morrow, Sheba. You must sleep, good-night."

"Good-night—Gordon."

There was a little dash of audacity in the understated wish of her mouth. It was the first time she had ever called him by his given name.

Elliott threw away prudence and caught her by the hands.

"My dear—my dear!" he cried. She trembled to his kiss, gave herself to his embrace with innocent passion. Tendrils of hair, fine as silk, brushed his cheeks and sent strange thrills through him.

They talked the incoherent language of lovers that is compounded of murmurs and sighs and the touch of lips and the meeting of eyes. There were to be other nights in their lives as rich in memories as this, but never another with quite the same delight.

Presently Sheba reminded him with a smile of the long trail he had mentioned. Mrs. Olson bustled into the tent, and her presence stressed the point.

"Good-night, neighbors," Gordon called back from outside the tent.

Sheba's "Good-night" echoed softly in his ears.

The girl fell asleep to the sound of the light breeze slapping the tent and to the doleful howling of the huskies.

(To Be Continued.)

SHIPS OF CONCRETE.

Vessels of This Material Are to Be Given a Trial.

To the average person the notion of building a ship of concrete seems rather absurd—no less so, that the idea of building one for a like purpose appeared to our great-grandfathers.

Nevertheless, the thing is being done successfully. It is within the bounds of reasonable likelihood that many of our contemplated cargo-carrying ships will be made of concrete.

To build a ship of concrete is cheap. Its construction demands half the labor, half the time, and half the money required for the making of a steel or wooden ship. This is to put the matter very roughly.

Why bother with steel or wood? The answer is that concrete shipbuilding is as yet in an experimental stage. It is not until that material bigger than 1,200 tons has been constructed up to date. For all that anybody knows, it may be a larger one may not prove serviceable.

The earliest experiments in this line were made with boats no larger than a few tons. They were followed by the building of larger craft. It has been a progressive performance, with nothing taken for granted.

Why bother with steel or wood? The answer is that concrete shipbuilding is as yet in an experimental stage. It is not until that material bigger than 1,200 tons has been constructed up to date. For all that anybody knows, it may be a larger one may not prove serviceable.

The earliest experiments in this line were made with boats no larger than a few tons. They were followed by the building of larger craft. It has been a progressive performance, with nothing taken for granted.

Why bother with steel or wood? The answer is that concrete shipbuilding is as yet in an experimental stage. It is not until that material bigger than 1,200 tons has been constructed up to date. For all that anybody knows, it may be a larger one may not prove serviceable.

The earliest experiments in this line were made with boats no larger than a few tons. They were followed by the building of larger craft. It has been a progressive performance, with nothing taken for granted.

Why bother with steel or wood? The answer is that concrete shipbuilding is as yet in an experimental stage. It is not until that material bigger than 1,200 tons has been constructed up to date. For all that anybody knows, it may be a larger one may not prove serviceable.

The earliest experiments in this line were made with boats no larger than a few tons. They were followed by the building of larger craft. It has been a progressive performance, with nothing taken for granted.

Why bother with steel or wood? The answer is that concrete shipbuilding is as yet in an experimental stage. It is not until that material bigger than 1,200 tons has been constructed up to date. For all that anybody knows, it may be a larger one may not prove serviceable.

The earliest experiments in this line were made with boats no larger than a few tons. They were followed by the building of larger craft. It has been a progressive performance, with nothing taken for granted.

Why bother with steel or wood? The answer is that concrete shipbuilding is as yet in an experimental stage. It is not until that material bigger than 1,200 tons has been constructed up to date. For all that anybody knows, it may be a larger one may not prove serviceable.

The earliest experiments in this line were made with boats no larger than a few tons. They were followed by the building of larger craft. It has been a progressive performance, with nothing taken for granted.

Why bother with steel or wood? The answer is that concrete shipbuilding is as yet in an experimental stage. It is not until that material bigger than 1,200 tons has been constructed up to date. For all that anybody knows, it may be a larger one may not prove serviceable.

The earliest experiments in this line were made with boats no larger than a few tons. They were followed by the building of larger craft. It has been a progressive performance, with nothing taken for granted.

Why bother with steel or wood? The answer is that concrete shipbuilding is as yet in an experimental stage. It is not until that material bigger than 1,200 tons has been constructed up to date. For all that anybody knows, it may be a larger one may not prove serviceable.

The earliest experiments in this line were made with boats no larger than a few tons. They were followed by the building of larger craft. It has been a progressive performance, with nothing taken for granted.

Why bother with steel or wood? The answer is that concrete shipbuilding is as yet in an experimental stage. It is not until that material bigger than 1,200 tons has been constructed up to date. For all that anybody knows, it may be a larger one may not prove serviceable.

The earliest experiments in this line were made with boats no larger than a few tons. They were followed by the building of larger craft. It has been a progressive performance, with nothing taken for granted.

Why bother with steel or wood? The answer is that concrete shipbuilding is as yet in an experimental stage. It is not until that material bigger than 1,200 tons has been constructed up to date. For all that anybody knows, it may be a larger one may not prove serviceable.

The earliest experiments in this line were made with boats no larger than a few tons. They were followed by the building of larger craft. It has been a progressive performance, with nothing taken for granted.

Why bother with steel or wood? The answer is that concrete shipbuilding is as yet in an experimental stage. It is not until that material bigger than 1,200 tons has been constructed up to date. For all that anybody knows, it may be a larger one may not prove serviceable.

The earliest experiments in this line were made with boats no larger than a few tons. They were followed by the building of larger craft. It has been a progressive performance, with nothing taken for granted.

Why bother with steel or wood? The answer is that concrete shipbuilding is as yet in an experimental stage. It is not until that material bigger than 1,200 tons has been constructed up to date. For all that anybody knows, it may be a larger one may not prove serviceable.

The earliest experiments in this line were made with boats no larger than a few tons. They were followed by the building of larger craft. It has been a progressive performance, with nothing taken for granted.

Why bother with steel or wood? The answer is that concrete shipbuilding is as yet in an experimental stage. It is not until that material bigger than 1,200 tons has been constructed up to date. For all that anybody knows, it may be a larger one may not prove serviceable.

The earliest experiments in this line were made with boats no larger than a few tons. They were followed by the building of larger craft. It has been a progressive performance, with nothing taken for granted.

Why bother with steel or wood? The answer is that concrete shipbuilding is as yet in an experimental stage. It is not until that material bigger than 1,200 tons has been constructed up to date. For all that anybody knows, it may be a larger one may not prove serviceable.

The earliest experiments in this line were made with boats no larger than a few tons. They were followed by the building of larger craft. It has been a progressive performance, with nothing taken for granted.

Why bother with steel or wood? The answer is that concrete shipbuilding is as yet in an experimental stage. It is not until that material bigger than 1,200 tons has been constructed up to date. For all that anybody knows, it may be a larger one may not prove serviceable.

and for this reason, among other things, he never has been a popular man in Georgia. For myself, I feel that I owe General Sherman a debt of gratitude. He produced conditions and an environment which made it necessary for the individual to develop every resource of every power with which nature had endowed him in order to exist. I believe that character is produced and developed by the highest degree of hardship and effort.

I have never doubted that whatever of character and capacity have developed has been, in a large measure, due to the surroundings and conditions which General Sherman furnished for the people of his section during that great war.

In the poverty of the reconstruction period there was one thing for which the well-born mothers and fathers of the south were willing to make any sacrifice. It was that their children should be brought up in the responsibility of the family where there were good schools.

Mr. McAdoo's father accepted the chair of the history of the University of Tennessee, at Knoxville, and moved his family there. In due time William C. Jr. entered the university and became a member of the law school.

He was admitted to the bar in 1881, and, despite his youth, soon became state counsel for an important railway company, and was later the Southern Railway system. In that employment he gained his first knowledge of the railway business. It fastened upon him that he was not long before he became president of the Knoxville Street Railway Company, which was one of the great roads in the country to be electrified.

It was a grilling experience, for the operation of electric railways was but a new thing, and a financial organization of the property ultimately became necessary.

To complete it, young McAdoo came to New York in 1892, then twenty-two years old. Attracted by the opportunities of the metropolis, he came to New York to study the practice of law, interesting himself especially in southern enterprises that needed capital in order to keep pace with the rapid development of the "New South," just coming into being.

In 1895 he formed a partnership with another William McAdoo, who had been a member of the city of New York. Alphonse McAdoo, as he was called, was a man of the navy, and police commissioner. They were not related, and the firm was called "McAdoo & McAdoo."

It was shortly after the time that the building of the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the tunnel nine hundred feet farther. Then it failed.

The idea of building a tunnel under the Hudson River was not new. It had been developed by Charles M. Jacobs, and Mr. McAdoo was led to it by the fact that the Hudson River tunnels began to take shape in his mind. It had been frequently suggested, and at one time the idea of building a tunnel had been taken up. In 1875 a man named De Witt Clinton Haskins had actually commenced to dig a tunnel from Hudson River to New York City. He had bored eighteen hundred feet under the river, when his money gave out. Another man took up the work in 1881 and extended the